

# TR-100 ADSL2/ADSL2plus Performance Test Plan

Issue: 3 Amendment 1
Issue Date: February 2014

#### Notice

The Broadband Forum is a non-profit corporation organized to create guidelines for broadband network system development and deployment. This Broadband Forum Technical Report has been approved by members of the Forum. This Broadband Forum Technical Report is not binding on the Broadband Forum, any of its members, or any developer or service provider. This Broadband Forum Technical Report is subject to change, but only with approval of members of the Forum. This Technical Report is copyrighted by the Broadband Forum, and all rights are reserved. Portions of this Technical Report may be copyrighted by Broadband Forum members.

THIS SPECIFICATION IS BEING OFFERED WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY WHATSOEVER, AND IN PARTICULAR, ANY WARRANTY OF NONINFRINGEMENT IS EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMED. ANY USE OF THIS SPECIFICATION SHALL BE MADE ENTIRELY AT THE IMPLEMENTER'S OWN RISK, AND NEITHER the Forum, NOR ANY OF ITS MEMBERS OR SUBMITTERS, SHALL HAVE ANY LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO ANY IMPLEMENTER OR THIRD PARTY FOR ANY DAMAGES OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS SPECIFICATION.

Broadband Forum Technical Reports may be copied, downloaded, stored on a server or otherwise re-distributed in their entirety only, and may not be modified without the advance written permission of the Broadband Forum.

The text of this notice must be included in all copies of this Broadband Forum Technical Report.

## **Issue History**

Issue	Approval	Publication	Issue	Changes
Number	Date	Date	Editor	
1	23 February 2015	16 March 2015	Herman Verbueken, Alcatel-Lucent	Original

Comments or questions about this Broadband Forum Technical Report should be directed to <a href="mailto:help@broadband-forum.org">help@broadband-forum.org</a>.

Editor	Herman Verbueken	Alcatel-Lucent	herman.verbueken@alcatel- lucent.com
Metallic Testing WG Chair	Les Brown	Huawei Technologies	lesbrown@sympatico.ca
Vice Chair	Lincoln Lavoie	UNH InterOperability Lab	lylavoie@iol.unh.edu
Vice Chair	Massimo Sorbara	Ikanos Communications	msorbara@ikanos.com

## **Table of Contents**

1 PU	1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE			
1.1	Purpose	6		
1.2	SCOPE	6		
2 RI	EFERENCES AND TERMINOLOGY	7		
2.1	CONVENTIONS	7		
2.2	References			
2.3	DEFINITIONS	8		
2.4	ABBREVIATIONS	8		
2.5	G.997.1 Parameters	8		
3 TI	ECHNICAL REPORT IMPACT	9		
3.1	ENERGY EFFICIENCY	9		
3.2	IPv6			
3.3	SECURITY	9		
3.4	Privacy			
4 Cl	HANGES RELATIVE TO TR-100 ISSUE 3			

## **Executive Summary**

See Executive Summary/TR-100 Issue 3.

TR-100 introduces an additional parameter FORCEINP that needs to be configured as common line setting. This parameter is set to the value TRUE.

The following is modified:

- Section 2.5 G.997.1 Parameters
- Table 8-1 Common line settings

# 1 Purpose and Scope

## 1.1 Purpose

See Section 1.1/TR-100 Issue3.

## 1.2 Scope

See Section 1.2/TR-100 Issue 3.

# 2 References and Terminology

#### 2.1 Conventions

In this Technical Report, several words are used to signify the requirements of the specification. These words are always capitalized. More information can be found be in RFC 2119 [2].

SHALL	This word, or the term "REQUIRED", means that the definition is an absolute requirement of the specification.		
SHALL NOT	This phrase means that the definition is an absolute prohibition of the specification.		
SHOULD	This word, or the term "RECOMMENDED", means that there could exist valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore this item, but the full implications need to be understood and carefully weighed before choosing a different course.		
SHOULD NOT	This phrase, or the phrase "NOT RECOMMENDED" means that there could exist valid reasons in particular circumstances when the particular behavior is acceptable or even useful, but the full implications need to be understood and the case carefully weighed before implementing any behavior described with this label.		
MAY	This word, or the term "OPTIONAL", means that this item is one of an allowed set of alternatives. An implementation that does not include this option SHALL be prepared to inter-operate with another implementation that does include the option.		

#### 2.2 References

The following references are of relevance to this Technical Report. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All references are subject to revision; users of this Technical Report are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the references listed below.

A list of currently valid Broadband Forum Technical Reports is published at <a href="www.broadband-forum.org">www.broadband-forum.org</a>.

See Section 2.2/TR-100 Issue 3.

Document		Title	Source	Year
[1]	TR-100 Issue 3	ADSL2/ADSL2plus Performance Test Plan Issue 3	BBF	2014
[2]	RFC 2119	Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels	IETF	1997

[3]	G.992.3	Recommendation ITU-T G.992.3 (04/2009), Asymmetric digital subscriber line transceivers 2 (ADSL2), including all in force amendments and	ITU	2009
[4]	G.997.1	corrigenda.  Recommendation ITU-T G.997.1 (04/2009),  Physical Layer Management for Digital  Subscriber Line (DSL) Transceivers, including all  in force amendments and corrigenda.	ITU	2009

#### 2.3 Definitions

The following terminology is used throughout this Technical Report.

See Section 2.3/TR-100 Issue 3.

#### 2.4 Abbreviations

This Technical Report uses the following abbreviations:

See Section 2.4/TR-100 Issue 3.

#### **2.5 G.997.1** Parameters

This Technical Report uses the following G.997.1 Parameters:

See Section 2.5/TR-100 Issue 3.

Parameter Section in G.997.1[4]

*FORCEINP* 7.3.2.5

## 3 Technical Report Impact

## 3.1 Energy Efficiency

TR-100 has no impact on energy efficiency.

### 3.2 IPv6

TR-100 has no impact on IPv6.

## 3.3 Security

TR-100 has no impact on security.

## 3.4 Privacy

Any issues regarding privacy are not affected by TR-100.

## 4 Changes relative to TR-100 Issue 3

Add parameter to section 2.5 G.997.1 Parameters:

Parameter

Section in G.997.1[4]

**FORCEINP** 

<u>7.3.2.5</u>

*Update Table 8-1 Common line settings as follows:* 

**Table 8-1: Common Line Settings** 

Parameter	Setting	Description		
PMMode	all off	no automatic transition to low power		
		states		
Latency/Bearer	Single	Single latency path and Single Frame		
	latency/one	Bearer operation		
	Bearer			
MSGMINds/us	6 kbps			
TARSNRMds/us	6 dB	standard value		
MAXSNRMds/us	no limitation or	no additional power reduction in these		
	at least 31dB	tests		
MINSNRMds/us	0 dB	no influence on pass/fail criteria		
MAXNOMPSDds	-40 dBm/Hz	G.992.3 default value		
MAXNOMPSDus	-38 dBm/Hz	G.992.3 default value		
NOMPSDds	-40 dBm/Hz	G.992.3 default value		
NOMPSDus	-38 dBm/Hz	G.992.3 default value		
MAXNOMATPds	19.9 dBm	Annex-A G.992.3/5 default value		
		(A.1.3.2)		
	19.3 dBm	Annex-B/J G.992.3/5 default value		
		(B.1.3.2/J.1.3.2)		
MAXNOMATPus	12.5 dBm	Annex-A G.992.3/5 default value		
		(A.2.2.2)		
	13.3 dBm	Annex-B G.992.3/5 default value		
		(B.2.2.2)		
	13.4 dBm	Annex-J G.992.3/5 default value (J.2.2.2)		
CARMASKds	default	Test case dependant		
CARMASKus	default	Test case dependant		
PSDMASKds	default	only applicable for G.992.5		
RFIBANDSds	none	only applicable for G.992.5		
MAXBER	1e-7			

Parameter	Setting	Description
<b>FORCEINP</b>	TRUE	Framer settings SHALL be selected such
		that the INP computed according to the
		INP_no_erasure formula specified in
		Table 7-7/G.992.3[3] > minimal INP
		requirement

End of Broadband Forum Technical Report TR-100